



## The JUPITER Trial: Will You Change Your Practice?

Open for Comments  
November 9–26, 2008

On November 9, 2008, we published an [article](#) and an accompanying [editorial](#) on the results of JUPITER, a large randomized trial in which patients without hyperlipidemia who were receiving rosuvastatin had significantly fewer cardiovascular events than did patients receiving placebo. In Clinical Directions, a new interactive feature, we invite you to respond to questions raised by the results of the trial, to contribute your own thoughts, and to read the comments of your peers. Polling and commenting close on November 26, 2008.

**Contribute your thoughts below**

COLLAPSE VIEW

### The JUPITER Trial: Will You Change Your Practice?

November 9, 2008

In patients with hyperlipidemia, treatment with statins reduces cardiovascular risk, even in people without a history of cardiovascular disease.<sup>1</sup> However, nearly half of all first cardiovascular events occur in people whose low-density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol levels are below current thresholds for lipid-lowering therapy.<sup>2-4</sup> Therefore, recent research has sought to refine our ability to identify people who are at risk and to find interventions capable of reducing that risk.

In the Justification for the Use of Statins in Primary Prevention: an Intervention Trial Evaluating Rosuvastatin (JUPITER; ClinicalTrials.gov number, [NCT00239681](#)) published in the *Journal*,<sup>5</sup> Ridker et al. adopted the unusual approach of selecting a treatment population according to high-sensitivity C-reactive protein levels. This strategy was based on two observations: high-sensitivity C-reactive protein has been shown to be an independent predictor of cardiovascular events<sup>1,6</sup> and statins reduce levels of both high-sensitivity C-reactive protein and LDL cholesterol.<sup>7,8</sup>

The subjects enrolled in JUPITER were apparently healthy people with LDL cholesterol levels of less than 130 mg per deciliter (3.4 mmol per liter) but with high-sensitivity C-reactive protein levels of 2.0 mg or more per liter. Participants were randomly assigned to receive either rosuvastatin, 20 mg orally each day, or placebo. The primary end point was the composite of nonfatal myocardial infarction, nonfatal stroke, hospitalization for unstable angina, arterial revascularization, or confirmed death from cardiovascular causes. The trial was stopped, after a median follow-up period of 1.9 years, by the data and safety monitoring board. The rates of the primary end point were 0.77 and 1.36 per 100 person-years of follow-up in the rosuvastatin and placebo groups, respectively.

So, in at least one trial, a statin has been shown to improve outcomes for patients with lipid levels that have been considered optimal but with elevated levels of high-sensitivity C-reactive protein. Some new questions logically follow, concerning the role of screening testing and the appropriate approach to therapy. Expert panels will develop recommendations on these issues derived from data from JUPITER and from trials yet to be performed. But in the interim, clinicians will have to make decisions on the basis of their reading of JUPITER and discussions with colleagues.

To help jump-start those discussions, we pose two questions raised by JUPITER and offer you the chance to express your opinion — and then see how your colleagues answered. We do not pretend to know the “right” responses, but we are interested in your opinion and any additional comments you wish to make.

#### REFERENCES

1. Shepherd J, Cobbe SM, Ford I, et al. Prevention of coronary heart disease with pravastatin in men with hypercholesterolemia. *N Engl J Med* 1995;333:1301-7.
2. National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP) Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol in Adults (Adult Treatment Panel III). Third Report of the National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP) Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol in Adults (Adult Treatment Panel III) final report. *Circulation* 2002;106:3143-421.
3. Grundy SM, Cleeman JI, Merz CNB, et al. Implications of recent clinical trials for the National Cholesterol Education Program Adult Treatment Panel III Guidelines. *Circulation* 2004;110:227-39.
4. Ridker PM, Rifai N, Rose L, Buring JE, Cook NR. Comparison of C-reactive protein and low-density lipoprotein cholesterol levels in the prediction of first cardiovascular events. *N Engl J Med* 2002;347:1557-65.
5. Ridker PM, Danielson E, Fonseca FAH, et al. Rosuvastatin to prevent vascular events in men and women with elevated C-reactive protein. *N Engl J Med* 2008;359:2195-207.
6. Pai JK, Pischoon T, Ma J, et al. Inflammatory markers and the risk of coronary heart disease in men and women. *N Engl J Med* 2004;351:2599-610.
7. Ridker PM, Rifai N, Pfeffer MA, Sacks F, Braunwald E. Long-term effects of pravastatin on plasma concentration of C-reactive protein. *Circulation* 1999;100:230-5.
8. Albert MA, Danielson E, Rifai N, Ridker PM. Effect of statin therapy on C-reactive protein levels: the Pravastatin Inflammation/CRP Evaluation (PRINCE): a randomized trial and cohort study. *JAMA* 2001;286:64-70.

[^TOP](#)

Do you believe, on the basis of the JUPITER trial results, that the approach to laboratory screening of apparently healthy adults should be changed?

- Yes, the trial results indicate that the approach to laboratory screening should be changed.
- No, the trial results do not provide a basis for a change in the approach to laboratory screening.

Do you believe, on the basis of the JUPITER trial results, that the therapeutic use of statins in apparently healthy adults should be changed?

- Yes, the trial results indicate that the therapeutic use of statins should be changed.
- No, the trial results do not provide a basis for a change in the therapeutic use of statins.

[We invite you to add a comment to explain your position.](#)

VIEW ALL (1)

**Contribute your thoughts****1 of 1**

NEJM

Other

Disclosure: None

Boston, Massachusetts

November 9, 2008

Please use the form below to add a comment.

HOME | SUBSCRIBE | SEARCH | CURRENT  
ISSUE | PAST ISSUES | COLLECTIONS |  
PRIVACY | HELP | beta.nejm.org

Comments and questions? Please [contact](#)  
[US](#).

The New England Journal of Medicine is  
owned, published, and **copyrighted** © 2008  
**Massachusetts Medical Society**. All rights  
reserved.

## Contribute Your Thoughts

*NOTE: All fields except Institution are required.*

First Name  Last Name

E-mail (Will not be displayed or shared. [Privacy policy](#))

Retype E-mail

Position  
Select...

Institution (optional)

Country  
Select...

City  State / Province

Relevant Financial Associations  
Select...

Subject

Comment (limit to 250 words)

**PREVIEW**

All comments will be screened for appropriateness. Comments may be edited. We will accept comments through November 26, 2008, and we will attempt to post all comments within 48 hours of submission. The volume of comments we receive may affect the number subsequently posted. Names and other identifying information will be included with comments; e-mail addresses will not be included.

### Respondents



Physician -- Cardiologist (0)  
Physician -- Non-Cardiologist  
(0)  
Medical Student (0)  
Resident or Trainee (0)  
Other Health Care Professional  
(0)  
Other (1)

### Respondent Locations



United States (1)  
Other (0)